



**Optimization**

NVIDIA OpenCL  
Best Practices Guide

Version 1.0

August 10, 2009

## **REVISIONS**

Original release: July 2009

# Table of Contents

<b>Preface.....</b>	<b>v</b>
What Is This Document?	v
Who Should Read This Guide?	v
Recommendations and Best Practices	v
Contents Summary	vi
<b>Chapter 1. Heterogeneous Computing with OpenCL .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Differences Between Host and Device	1
1.2 What Runs on an OpenCL-Enabled Device?	2
1.3 Maximum Performance Benefit	3
<b>Chapter 2. Performance Metrics.....</b>	<b>5</b>
2.1 Timing	5
2.1.1 Using CPU Timers	5
2.1.2 Using OpenCL GPU Timers	6
2.2 Bandwidth	6
2.2.1 Theoretical Bandwidth Calculation	6
2.2.2 Effective Bandwidth Calculation	7
<b>Chapter 3. Memory Optimizations.....</b>	<b>9</b>
3.1 Data Transfer Between Host and Device	9
3.1.1 Pinned Memory	9
3.2 Device Memory Spaces	12
3.2.1 Coalesced Access to Global Memory	13
3.2.1.1 A Simple Access Pattern	14
3.2.1.2 A Sequential but Misaligned Access Pattern	14
3.2.1.3 Effects of Misaligned Accesses	15
3.2.1.4 Strided Accesses	17
3.2.2 Shared Memory	18
3.2.2.1 Shared Memory and Memory Banks	18
3.2.2.2 Shared Memory in Matrix Multiplication ( $C = AB$ )	19
3.2.2.3 Shared Memory in Matrix Multiplication ( $C = AA^T$ )	23
3.2.2.4 Shared Memory Use by Kernel Arguments	25
3.2.3 Local Memory	25
3.2.4 Texture Memory	26
3.2.4.1 Textured Fetch vs. Global Memory Read	26

3.2.4.2 Additional Texture Capabilities	26
3.2.5 Constant Memory	27
3.2.6 Registers	27
3.2.6.1 Register Pressure	27
<b>Chapter 4. NDRange Optimizations.....</b>	<b>29</b>
4.1 Occupancy	29
4.2 Calculating Occupancy	29
4.3 Hiding Register Dependencies	31
4.4 Thread and Block Heuristics	31
4.5 Effects of Shared Memory	32
<b>Chapter 5. Instruction Optimizations.....</b>	<b>35</b>
5.1 Arithmetic Instructions	35
5.1.1 Division and Modulo Operations	36
5.1.2 Reciprocal Square Root	36
5.1.3 Other Arithmetic Instructions	36
5.1.4 Math Libraries	37
5.2 Memory Instructions	37
<b>Chapter 6. Control Flow.....</b>	<b>39</b>
6.1 Branching and Divergence	39
6.2 Branch Predication	39
<b>Appendix A. Recommendations and Best Practices .....</b>	<b>41</b>
A.1 Overall Performance Optimization Strategies	41
A.2 High-Priority Recommendations	42
A.3 Medium-Priority Recommendations	42
A.4 Low-Priority Recommendations	42

---

## What Is This Document?

This *Best Practices Guide* is a manual to help developers obtain the best performance from the NVIDIA® CUDA™ architecture using OpenCL. It presents established optimization techniques and explains coding metaphors and idioms that can greatly simplify programming for the CUDA architecture.

While the contents can be used as a reference manual, you should be aware that some topics are revisited in different contexts as various programming and configuration topics are explored. As a result, it is recommended that first-time readers proceed through the guide sequentially. This approach will greatly improve your understanding of effective programming practices and enable you to better use the guide for reference later.

---

## Who Should Read This Guide?

This guide is intended for programmers who have basic familiarity with OpenCL and have already written successful OpenCL programs.

It refers to and relies on several other documents that you should have at your disposal for reference:

- ❑ *NVIDIA OpenCL Getting Started Guide*
- ❑ *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide*
- ❑ *OpenCL Specification*

In particular, it assumes knowledge of the mapping between the OpenCL and the CUDA architecture and terminology described in Chapter 2 of the NVIDIA OpenCL programming guide.

---

## Recommendations and Best Practices

Throughout this guide, specific recommendations are made regarding the design and implementation of OpenCL application. These recommendations are categorized by priority, which is a blend of the effect of the recommendation and its scope. Actions that present substantial improvements for most OpenCL

applications have the highest priority, while small optimizations that affect only very specific situations are given a lower priority.

Before implementing lower priority recommendations, it is good practice to make sure all higher priority recommendations that are relevant have already been applied. This approach will tend to provide the best results for the time invested and will avoid the trap of premature optimization.

The criteria of benefit and scope for establishing priority will vary depending on the nature of the program. In this guide, they represent a typical case. Your code might reflect different priority factors. Regardless of this possibility, it is good practice to verify that no higher priority recommendations have been overlooked before undertaking lower priority items.

Appendix A of this document lists all the recommendations and best practices, grouping them by priority and adding some additional helpful observations.

---

## Contents Summary

The remainder of this guide is divided into the following sections:

- ❑ **Introduction to Parallel Computing with OpenCL:** Important aspects of the parallel programming architecture.
- ❑ **Performance Metrics:** How should performance be measured in OpenCL applications and what are the factors that most influence performance?
- ❑ **Memory Optimizations:** Correct memory management is one of the most effective means of improving performance. This chapter explores the different kinds of memory available to OpenCL applications, and it explains in detail how memory is handled behind the scenes.
- ❑ **NDRanges Optimizations:** How to make sure your OpenCL application is exploiting all the available resources on the GPU.
- ❑ **Instruction Optimizations:** Certain operations run faster than others. Using faster operations and avoiding slower ones often confers remarkable benefits.
- ❑ **Control Flow:** Carelessly designed control flow can force parallel code into serial execution; whereas thoughtfully designed control flow can help the hardware perform the maximum amount of work per clock cycle.
- ❑ **Getting the Right Answer:** How to debug code and how to handle differences in how the CPU and GPU represent floating-point values.

# Chapter 1.

## Heterogeneous Computing with OpenCL

OpenCL programming involves running code on two different platforms: a *host* system that relies on one or more CPUs to perform calculations, and a card (frequently a graphics adapter) with one or more OpenCL-enabled NVIDIA GPUs (the *device*).

While NVIDIA devices are primarily associated with rendering graphics, they also are powerful arithmetic engines capable of running thousands of lightweight threads in parallel. This capability makes them well suited to computations that can leverage parallel execution well.

However, the device is based on a distinctly different design from the host system and, to use OpenCL effectively, it's important to understand those differences and how they determine the performance of OpenCL applications.

---

### 1.1 Differences Between Host and Device

The primary differences occur in threading and memory access:

- ❑ **Threading resources.** Execution pipelines on host systems can support a limited number of concurrent threads. Servers that have four quad-core processors today can run only 16 threads in parallel (32 if the CPUs support HyperThreading.) By comparison, the smallest executable unit of parallelism on a device, called a *warp*, comprises 32 threads. All NVIDIA GPUs can support 768 active threads per multiprocessor, and some GPUs support 1,024 active threads per multiprocessor. On devices that have 30 multiprocessors (such as the NVIDIA® GeForce® GTX 280), this leads to more than 30,000 active threads. In addition, devices can hold literally billions of threads scheduled to run on these GPUs.
- ❑ **Threads.** Threads on a CPU are generally heavyweight entities. The operating system must swap threads on and off execution channels to provide multithreading capability. Context switches (when two threads are swapped) are therefore slow and expensive. By comparison, GPUs run extremely lightweight threads. In a typical system, hundreds of threads are queued up for work (in warps of 32 threads). If the GPU processor must wait on one warp of threads, it simply begins executing work on another. Because registers are allocated to active threads, no swapping of registers and state occurs between GPU threads. Resources stay allocated to the thread until it completes its execution.
- ❑ **RAM.** Both the host system and the device have RAM. On the host system, RAM is generally equally accessible to all code (within the limitations enforced by the operating system). On the device, RAM is divided virtually and physically

into different types, each of which has a special purpose and fulfills different needs. The types of device RAM are explained in the *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide* and in Chapter 3 of this document.

These are the primary hardware differences between CPU hosts and GPU devices with respect to parallel programming. Other differences are discussed as they arise elsewhere in this document.

---

## 1.2 What Runs on an OpenCL-Enabled Device?

Because of the considerable differences between host and device, it's important to partition applications so that each hardware system is doing the work it does best. The following issues should be considered when determining what parts of an application to run on the device:

- ❑ The device is ideally suited for computations that can be run in parallel. That is, data parallelism is optimally handled on the device. This typically involves arithmetic on large data sets (such as matrices), where the same operation can be performed across thousands, if not millions, of elements at the same time. This is a requirement of good performance on OpenCL-enabled devices: The software must use a large number of threads. The support for running numerous threads in parallel derives from the CUDA architecture's use of a lightweight threading model.
- ❑ There should be some coherence in memory access by a kernel. Certain memory access patterns enable the hardware to coalesce groups of data items to be written and read in one operation. Data that cannot be laid out so as to enable coalescing, or that doesn't have enough locality to use textures efficiently, will not enjoy much of a performance lift when used in computations on OpenCL-enabled devices.
- ❑ Traffic along the Peripheral Component Interconnect (PCI) bus should be minimized. In OpenCL, data values must be transferred from the host to the device. These transfers are costly in terms of performance and so they should be minimized. (See section 3.1.) This cost has several ramifications:
  - The complexity of operations should justify the cost of moving data to the device. Code that transfers data for brief use by a small number of threads will see little or no performance lift. The ideal scenario is one in which many threads perform a substantial amount of work.

For example, transferring two matrices to the device to perform a matrix addition and then transferring the results back to the host will not realize much performance benefit. The issue here is the number of operations performed per data element transferred. For the preceding procedure, assuming matrices of size  $N \times N$ , there are  $N^2$  operations (additions) and  $3N^2$  elements transferred, so the operations-to-transfer ratio is 1:3 or  $O(1)$ . Performance benefits can be more readily achieved when the ratio of operations to elements transferred is higher. For example, a matrix multiplication of the same matrices requires  $N^3$  operations (multiply-add), so the ratio of operations to element transferred is  $O(N)$ , in which case the larger the matrix the greater the performance benefit. The types of



operations are an additional factor, as additions versus trigonometric functions have different complexity profiles. It is important to include transfers to and from the device in determining where operations should be performed.

- Data should be kept on the device as long as possible. Because transfers should be minimized, programs that run multiple kernels on the same data should favor leaving the data on the device between kernel calls, rather than transferring intermediate results to the host and then sending them back to the device for subsequent calculations. So if the data were already on the device in the previous example, the matrix addition should be performed locally on the device. This approach should be used even if one of the steps in a sequence of calculations could be performed faster on the host. Even a relatively slow kernel may be advantageous if it avoids one or more PCI Express (PCIe) transfers. Section 3.1 provides further details, including the measurements of bandwidth between host and device versus within the device proper.

## 1.3 Maximum Performance Benefit

**High Priority:** To get the maximum benefit from OpenCL, focus first on finding ways to parallelize sequential code.

The amount of performance benefit an application will realize by using OpenCL depends entirely on the extent to which it can be parallelized. As mentioned previously, code that cannot be sufficiently parallelized should run on the host, unless doing so would result in excessive transfers between host and device.

Amdahl's law specifies the maximum speed-up that can be expected by parallelizing portions of a serial program. Essentially, it states that the maximum speed-up ( $S$ ) of a program is

$$S = \frac{1}{(1 - P) + \frac{P}{N}}$$

where  $P$  is the fraction of the total serial execution time taken by the portion of code that can be parallelized and  $N$  is the number of processors over which the parallel portion of the code runs.

The larger  $N$  is (that is, the greater the number of processors), the smaller the  $P/N$  fraction. It can be simpler to view  $N$  as a very large number, which essentially transforms the equation into  $S = 1 / (1 - P)$ . Now, if  $3/4$  of a program is parallelized, the maximum speed-up over serial code is  $1 / (1 - 3/4) = 4$ .

For most purposes, the key point is that the greater  $P$  is, the greater the speed-up. An additional caveat is implicit in this equation, which is that if  $P$  is a small number (so not substantially parallel), increasing  $N$  does little to improve performance. To get the largest lift, best practices suggest spending most effort on increasing  $P$ ; that is, by maximizing the amount of code that can be parallelized.





## Chapter 2. Performance Metrics

When attempting to optimize OpenCL code, it pays to know how to measure performance accurately and to understand the role that bandwidth plays in performance measurement. This chapter discusses how to correctly measure performance using CPU timers and OpenCL events. It then explores how bandwidth affects performance metrics and how to mitigate some of the challenges it poses.

---

### 2.1 Timing

OpenCL calls and kernel executions can be timed using either CPU or GPU timers. This section examines the functionality, advantages, and pitfalls of both approaches.

#### 2.1.1 Using CPU Timers

Any CPU timer can be used to measure the elapsed time of an OpenCL call. The details of various CPU timing approaches are outside the scope of this document, but developers should always be aware of the resolution their timing calls provide.

When using CPU timers, it is critical to remember that some OpenCL function calls can be non-blocking; that is, they return control back to the calling CPU thread prior to completing their work. All kernel execution enqueue calls are non-blocking; so are all memory transfer enqueue calls with the blocking parameter set to true. Therefore, to accurately measure the elapsed time for a particular call or sequence of OpenCL calls, it is necessary to synchronize the CPU thread with the GPU by calling `clFinish()` for all command queues immediately before starting and stopping the CPU timer. `clFinish()` blocks the calling CPU thread until all OpenCL calls previously issued by the thread are completed.

Although it is also possible to synchronize the CPU thread with a particular command queue or event on the GPU, these synchronization functions are not suitable for timing code in a specific command queue. `clFinish()` blocks the CPU thread until all OpenCL commands previously enqueued into the given queue have completed. `clWaitForEvents()` can be used to block until some events in a particular command queue have been recorded by the GPU. Because the driver may interleave execution of OpenCL calls from different command queues, calls in other command queue may be included in the timing.

## 2.1.2 Using OpenCL GPU Timers

Each enqueue call optionally returns an event object that uniquely identifies the enqueued command. The event object of a command can be used to measure its execution time if as detailed in Section 5.9 and illustrated in Listing 2.1. Profiling can be enabled by setting the `CL_QUEUE_PROFILING_ENABLE` flag in properties argument of either `clCreateCommandQueue` or `clSetCommandQueueProperty`.

```
cl_ulong start, end;

clGetEventProfilingInfo(event, CL_PROFILING_COMMAND_END,
                        sizeof(cl_ulong), &end, NULL);
clGetEventProfilingInfo(event, CL_PROFILING_COMMAND_START,
                        sizeof(cl_ulong), &start, NULL);

float executionTimeInMilliseconds = (end - start) * 1.0e-6f;
```

**Listing 2.1** How to time code using OpenCL events

Note that the timings are measured on the GPU clock, and so are operating system-independent. The resolution of the GPU timer is approximately half a microsecond.

---

## 2.2 Bandwidth

Bandwidth is one of the most important gating factors for performance. Almost all changes to code should be made in the context of how they affect bandwidth. As described in Chapter 3 of this guide, bandwidth can be dramatically affected by the choice of memory in which data is stored, how the data is stored and accessed, as well as other factors.

To measure performance accurately, it is useful to calculate theoretical and effective bandwidth. When the latter is much lower than the former, design or implementation details are likely to reduce bandwidth, and it should be the primary goal of subsequent optimization efforts to increase it.

**High Priority:** Use the effective bandwidth of your computation as a metric when measuring performance and optimization benefits.

### 2.2.1 Theoretical Bandwidth Calculation

Theoretical bandwidth can be calculated using hardware specifications available in the product literature. For example, the NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 uses DDR (double data rate) RAM with a memory clock rate of 1,107 MHz and a 512-bit wide memory interface.

Using these data items, the peak theoretical memory bandwidth of the NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 is

$$(1107 \times 10^6 \times (512/8) \times 2) / 10^9 = 141.6 \text{ GB/sec}$$

In this calculation, the memory clock rate is converted in to Hz, multiplied by the interface width (divided by 8, to convert bits to bytes) and multiplied by 2 due to the double data rate. Finally, this product is divided by  $10^9$  to convert the result to GB/sec (GBps).

Note that some calculations use  $1,024^3$  instead of  $10^9$  for the final calculation. In such a case, the bandwidth would be 131.9 GBps. It is important to use the same divisor when calculating theoretical and effective bandwidth, so that the comparison is valid.

## 2.2.2 Effective Bandwidth Calculation

Effective bandwidth is calculated by timing specific program activities and by knowing how data is accessed by the program. To do so, use this equation

$$\text{Effective bandwidth} = ((B_r + B_w) / 10^9) / \text{time}$$

where the effective bandwidth is in units of GBps,  $B_r$  is the number of bytes read per kernel,  $B_w$  is the number of bytes written per kernel, and **time** is given in seconds.

For example, to compute the effective bandwidth of a 2048 x 2048 matrix copy, the following formula could be used:

$$\text{Effective bandwidth} = ((2048^2 \times 4 \times 2) / 10^9) / \text{time}$$

The number of elements is multiplied by the size of each element (4 bytes for a float), multiplied by 2 (because of the read *and* write), divided by  $10^9$  (or  $1,024^3$ ) to obtain GB of memory transferred. This number is divided by the time in seconds to obtain GBps.



## Chapter 3. Memory Optimizations

Memory optimizations are the most important area for performance. The goal is to maximize the use of the hardware by maximizing bandwidth. Bandwidth is best served by using as much fast memory and as little slow-access memory as possible. This chapter discusses the various kinds of memory on the host and device and how best to set up data items to use the memory effectively.

---

### 3.1 Data Transfer Between Host and Device

The bandwidth between the device memory and the GPU is much higher (141 GBps on the NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280, for example) than the bandwidth between host memory and device memory (8 GBps on the PCI Express  $\times 16$  Gen2). Hence, for best overall application performance, it is important to minimize data transfer between the host and the device, even if that means running kernels on the GPU that do not demonstrate any speed-up compared with running them on the host CPU.

**High Priority:** Minimize data transfer between the host and the device, even if it means running some kernels on the device that do not show performance gains when compared with running them on the host CPU.

Intermediate data structures should be created in device memory, operated on by the device, and destroyed without ever being mapped by the host or copied to host memory.

Also, because of the overhead associated with each transfer, batching many small transfers into one larger transfer performs significantly better than making each transfer separately.

Finally, higher bandwidth between host and device is achieved when using *page-locked* (or *pinned*) memory, as discussed in the *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide* and section 3.1.1 of this document.

#### 3.1.1 Pinned Memory

Page-locked or pinned memory transfers attain the highest bandwidth between host and device. On PCIe  $\times 16$  Gen2 cards, for example, pinned memory can attain greater than 5 GBps transfer rates.

OpenCL applications do not have direct control over whether memory objects are allocated in pinned memory or not, but they can create objects using the `CL_MEM_ALLOC_HOST_PTR` flag and such objects are likely to be allocated in pinned memory by the driver for best performance. The *oclBandwidthTest* program in the NVIDIA OpenCL SDK shows how to use these functions as well as how to measure memory transfer performance. Additional examples of pinned memory usage are provided in the *oclSobelFilter* and *oclMedianFilter* program samples in the NVIDIA OpenCL SDK.

Pinned memory should not be overused. Excessive use can reduce overall system performance because pinned memory is a scarce resource. How much is too much is difficult to tell in advance, so as with all optimizations, test the applications and the systems they run on for optimal performance parameters.

The steps normally needed to use pinned memory are briefly summarized in the following example.

- 1) Declare `cl_mem` buffer objects for the pinned host memory and the GPU device GMEM, respectively, and standard pointers to reference pinned host memory.

```
cl_context cxGPUContext;
cl_mem cmPinnedBufIn = NULL;
cl_mem cmPinnedBufOut = NULL;
cl_mem cmDevBufIn = NULL;
cl_mem cmDevBufOut = NULL;
unsigned char* cDataIn = NULL;
unsigned char* cDataOut = NULL;
```

- 2) Allocate `cl_mem` buffer objects for the pinned host memory and the GPU device GMEM, respectively. Because these are time consuming operations, and because many applications don't need to change the size of these buffers within time-critical code paths, these functions are commonly executed in an application initialization function or event driven function (not in any program loop to be executed quickly and frequently).

```
cmPinnedBufIn = clCreateBuffer(cxGPUContext, CL_MEM_READ_ONLY |
                               CL_MEM_ALLOC_HOST_PTR, memSize,
                               NULL, NULL);
cmPinnedBufOut = clCreateBuffer(cxGPUContext, CL_MEM_WRITE_ONLY |
                                 CL_MEM_ALLOC_HOST_PTR, memSize,
                                 NULL, NULL);

cmDevBufIn = clCreateBuffer(cxGPUContext, CL_MEM_READ_ONLY,
                             memSize, NULL, NULL);
cmDevBufOut = clCreateBuffer(cxGPUContext,
                              CL_MEM_WRITE_ONLY, memSize,
                              NULL, NULL);
```



- 3) Map standard pointer to reference the pinned host memory input and output buffers with standard pointers.

```
cDataIn = (unsigned char*)clEnqueueMapBuffer(cqCommandQue,
                                             cmPinnedBufIn, CL_TRUE,
                                             CL_MAP_WRITE, 0, memSize, 0,
                                             NULL, NULL, NULL);
cDataOut = (unsigned char*)clEnqueueMapBuffer(cqCommandQue,
                                              cmPinnedBufOut, CL_TRUE,
                                              CL_MAP_READ, 0, memSize, 0,
                                              NULL, NULL, NULL);
```

- 4) Initialize or update the pinned memory content, using the standard host pointer and standard host code. This might be done during program initialization function or at any appropriate time by such means as an asynchronous data acquisition function.

```
for(unsigned int i = 0; i < memSize; i++)
{
    cDataIn[i] = (unsigned char)(i & 0xff);
}
```

- 5) Write data from pinned host memory to the GPU device GMEM any time in the application that “fresh” data has been written to the pinned host memory. This step #5, along with steps #6 and #7, commonly constitute a core sequence (copy input data to GPU, compute on GPU, copy results back to CPU) in an application with a recurring main loop, such as an application with a GLUT display callback loop.

```
clEnqueueWriteBuffer(cqCommandQue, cmDevBufIn, CL_FALSE, 0,
                    szBuffBytes, cDataIn, 0, NULL, NULL);
```

- 6) Run computation kernel on the GPU device.

```
clEnqueueNDRangeKernel(cqCommandQue, ...);
```

- 7) Read data from GPU device GMEM to pinned host memory. Note that this example uses a blocking read to assure the read is complete (which would make sense if the next step in the application was to display or otherwise use the processed data from the host). Also note that this read would be unnecessary in applications using CL-GI interop if the destination for the computed data is only a graphics window, not main CPU memory.

```
clEnqueueReadBuffer(cqCommandQue, cmDevBufOut, CL_TRUE, 0,
                   szBuffBytes, cDataOut, 0, NULL, NULL)
```

## 3.2 Device Memory Spaces

CUDA devices use several memory spaces, which have different characteristics that reflect their distinct usages in OpenCL applications. These memory spaces include global, local, shared, texture, and registers, as shown in Figure 3.2. The CUDA local memory space is not to be confused with OpenCL local memory, which maps to CUDA shared memory.




Figure 3.2 The various memory spaces on a CUDA device

Of these different memory spaces, global and texture memory are the most plentiful. There is a 16 KB per thread limit on local memory, a total of 64 KB of constant memory, and a limit of 16 KB of shared memory, and either 8,192 or 16,384 32-bit registers per multiprocessor. Global, local, and texture memory have the greatest access latency (although texture is cached), followed by constant memory, registers, and shared memory.

The various principal traits of the memory types are shown in Table 3.1.

Table 3.1 Salient features of device memory

Memory	Location on/off chip	Cached	Access	Scope	Lifetime
Register	On	n/a	R/W	1 thread	Thread
Local	Off	No	R/W	1 thread	Thread
Shared	On	n/a	R/W	All threads in block	Block
Global	Off	No	R/W	All threads + host	Host allocation

Constant	Off	Yes	R	All threads + host	Host allocation
Texture	Off	Yes	R	All threads + host	Host allocation

### 3.2.1 Coalesced Access to Global Memory

**High Priority:** Ensure global memory accesses are coalesced whenever possible.

Perhaps the single most important performance consideration in programming for the CUDA architecture is coalescing global memory accesses. Global memory loads and stores by threads of a half warp (16 threads) are coalesced by the device in as few as one transaction (or two transactions in the case of 128-bit words) when certain access requirements are met. To understand these access requirements, global memory should be viewed in terms of aligned segments of 16 and 32 words. Figure 3.3 helps explain coalescing of a half warp of 32-bit words, such as floats. It shows global memory as rows of 64-byte aligned segments (16 floats). Two rows of the same color represent a 128-byte aligned segment. A half warp of threads that accesses the global memory is indicated at the bottom of the figure.




Figure 3.3 Linear memory segments and threads in a half warp

The access requirements for coalescing depend on the compute capability of the device:

- ❑ On devices of compute capability 1.0 or 1.1, the  $k$ -th thread in a half warp must access the  $k$ -th word in a segment aligned to 16 times the size of the elements being accessed; however, not all threads need to participate.
- ❑ On devices of compute capability 1.2 or higher, coalescing is achieved for any pattern of accesses that fits into a segment size of 32 bytes for 8-bit words, 64 bytes for 16-bit words, or 128 bytes for 32- and 64-bit words. Smaller transactions may be issued to avoid wasting bandwidth. More precisely, the following protocol is used to issue a memory transaction for a half warp:
  - Find the memory segment that contains the address requested by the lowest numbered active thread. Segment size is 32 bytes for 8-bit data, 64 bytes for 16-bit data, and 128 bytes for 32-, 64-, and 128-bit data.

- Find all other active threads whose requested address lies in the same segment, and reduce the transaction size if possible:
  - ◆ If the transaction is 128 bytes and only the lower or upper half is used, reduce the transaction size to 64 bytes.
  - ◆ If the transaction is 64 bytes and only the lower or upper half is used, reduce the transaction size to 32 bytes.
- Carry out the transaction and mark the serviced threads as inactive.
- Repeat until all threads in the half warp are serviced.

These concepts are illustrated in the following simple examples.

### 3.2.1.1 A Simple Access Pattern

The first and simplest case of coalescing can be achieved by any device: the  $k$ -th thread accesses the  $k$ -th word in a segment; the exception is that not all threads need to participate. (See Figure 3.4.)




Figure 3.4 Coalesced access in which all threads but one access the corresponding word in a segment

This access pattern results in a single 64-byte transaction, indicated by the red rectangle. Note that even though one word is not requested, all data in the segment are fetched. If accesses by threads were permuted within this segment, still one 64-byte transaction would be performed by a device with compute capability 1.2 or higher, but 16 serialized transactions would be performed by a device with compute capability 1.1 or lower.

### 3.2.1.2 A Sequential but Misaligned Access Pattern

If sequential threads in a half warp access memory that is sequential but not aligned with the segments, then a separate transaction results for each element requested on a device with compute capability 1.1 or lower. On a device with compute capability 1.2 or higher, several different scenarios can arise depending on whether all addresses for a half warp fall within a single 128-byte segment. If the addresses fall within a 128-byte segment, then a single 128-byte transaction is performed, as shown in Figure 3.5.




Figure 3.5 Unaligned sequential addresses that fit within a single 128-byte segment

If a half warp accesses memory that is sequential but split across two 128-byte segments, then two transactions are performed. In the following case, illustrated in Figure 3.6, one 64-byte transaction and one 32-byte transaction result.




Figure 3.6 Misaligned sequential addresses that fall within two 128-byte segments

Device memory allocated through OpenCL is guaranteed to be aligned to at least 256 bytes. Therefore, choosing sensible thread block sizes, such as multiples of 16, facilitates memory accesses by half warps that are aligned to segments. In addition, the qualifiers `__attribute__((aligned(8)))` and `__attribute__((aligned(16)))` can be used when defining structures to ensure alignment to segments.

### 3.2.1.3 Effects of Misaligned Accesses

It is easy and informative to explore the ramifications of misaligned accesses using a simple copy kernel, such as the one in Listing 3.5.

```
__kernel void offsetCopy(__global float *odata,
                        __global float* idata,
                        int offset)
{
    int xid = get_global_id(0) + offset;
    odata[xid] = idata[xid];
}
```

Listing 3.5 A copy kernel that illustrates misaligned accesses

In Listing 3.5, data is copied from the input array `idata` to the output array, both of which exist in global memory. The kernel is executed within a loop in host code that

varies the parameter `offset` from 1 to 32. (Figures 3.5 and 3.6 correspond to offsets of 1 and 17, respectively.) The effective bandwidth for the copy with various offsets on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 (with compute capability 1.3) and an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800 (compute capability 1.0) are shown in Figure 3.7.




Figure 3.7 Performance of `offsetCopy` kernel

For the NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800 device, global memory accesses with no offset or with offsets that are multiples of 16 result in a single transaction per half warp and an effective bandwidth of approximately 74 GBps. Otherwise, 16 transactions are issued per half warp resulting in an effective bandwidth of approximately 7 GBps. This roughly 8x performance degradation is due to the fact that 32 bytes, the minimum transaction size, are fetched for each thread. However, only 4 bytes of data are used for each 32 bytes fetched—resulting in the  $4/32=1/8$  performance relative to the fully coalesced case. The two numbers also reflect the different data represented by effective bandwidth (4 bytes) versus actual bandwidth (32 bytes).

Because of this possible performance degradation, memory coalescing is the most critical aspect of performance optimization of device memory. For the NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 device, the situation is less dire for misaligned accesses because, in all cases, access by a half warp of threads in this kernel results in either one or two transactions. As such, the effective bandwidth is between 120 GBps for a single transaction and 70 GBps for two transactions per half warp. The number of transactions issued for a half warp of threads depends on the offset and whether the warp is even- or odd-numbered. For offsets of 0 or 16, each half warp results in a single 64-byte transaction (Figure 3.4). For offsets of 1 through 7 or 9 through 15, even-numbered warps result in a single 128-byte transaction (Figure 3.5) and odd-numbered warps result in two transactions: one 64-byte and one 32-byte (Figure 3.6). For offsets of 8, even-numbered warps result in one 128-byte transaction and odd-numbered warps result in two 32-byte

transactions, rather than a 64- and a 32-byte transaction, are responsible for the blip at the offset of 8 in Figure 3.7.

### 3.2.1.4 Strided Accesses

Although the relaxed coalescing restrictions for devices with compute capability 1.2 or higher achieve one-half full bandwidth for the offset copy case just described, performance on such devices can degrade when successive threads in a half warp access memory locations that have non-unit strides. This pattern occurs frequently when dealing with multidimensional data or matrices; for example, when a half warp of threads accesses matrix elements columnwise and the matrix is stored in row-major order.

To illustrate the effect of strided access on effective bandwidth, see the following kernel `strideCopy()`, which copies data with a stride of `stride` elements between threads from `idata` to `odata`.

```
__kernel void strideCopy(__global float* odata,
                        __global float* idata,
                        int stride)
{
    int xid = get_global_id(0) * stride;
    odata[xid] = idata[xid];
}
```

Listing 3.6 A kernel to illustrate non-unit stride data copy

Figure 3.8 illustrates a situation that can be created using the code in Listing 3.6; namely, threads within a half warp access memory with a stride of 2. This action is coalesced into a single 128-byte transaction on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 (compute capability 1.3).




Figure 3.8 A half warp accessing memory with a stride of 2

Although a stride of 2 results in a single transaction, note that half the elements in the transaction are not used and represent wasted bandwidth. As the stride increases, the effective bandwidth decreases until the point where 16 transactions are issued for the 16 threads in a half warp, as indicated in Figure 3.9.




Figure 3.9 Performance of strideCopy kernel

Note, however, that on the NVIDIA GTX 8800 device (compute capability 1.0), any non-unit stride results in 16 separate transactions per half warp.

As illustrated in Figure 3.9, non-unit stride global memory accesses should be avoided whenever possible. One method for doing so utilizes shared memory, which is discussed in the next section.

## 3.2.2 Shared Memory

Because it is on-chip, shared memory (i.e. OpenCL `__local` memory) is much faster than local and global memory. In fact, shared memory latency is roughly 100x lower than global memory latency—provided there are no bank conflicts between the threads, as detailed in the following section.

### 3.2.2.1 Shared Memory and Memory Banks

To achieve high memory bandwidth for concurrent accesses, shared memory is divided into equally sized memory modules, called banks, that can be accessed simultaneously. Therefore, any memory load or store of  $n$  addresses that spans  $n$  distinct memory banks can be serviced simultaneously, yielding an effective bandwidth that is  $n$  times as high as the bandwidth of a single bank.

However, if multiple addresses of a memory request map to the same memory bank, the accesses are serialized. The hardware splits a memory request that has bank conflicts into as many separate conflict-free requests as necessary, decreasing the effective bandwidth by a factor equal to the number of separate memory requests. The one exception here is when all threads in a half warp address the same shared memory location, resulting in a broadcast.

To minimize bank conflicts, it is important to understand how memory addresses map to memory banks and how to optimally schedule memory requests.



**Medium Priority:** Accesses to shared memory should be designed to avoid serializing requests due to bank conflicts.

Shared memory banks are organized such that successive 32-bit words are assigned to successive banks and each bank has a bandwidth of 32 bits per clock cycle. The bandwidth of shared memory is 32 bits per bank per clock cycle.

For devices of compute capability 1.x, the warp size is 32 threads and the number of banks is 16. A shared memory request for a warp is split into one request for the first half of the warp and one request for the second half of the warp. Note that no bank conflict occurs if only one memory location per bank is accessed by a half warp of threads. Refer to the *NV IDIA OpenCL Programming Guide* for more information on how accesses and banks can be matched to avoid conflicts.

### 3.2.2.2 Shared Memory in Matrix Multiplication ( $C = AB$ )

Shared memory enables cooperation between threads in a block. When multiple threads in a block use the same data from global memory, shared memory can be used to access the data from global memory only once. Shared memory can also be used to avoid uncoalesced memory accesses by loading and storing data in a coalesced pattern from global memory and then reordering it in shared memory. Aside from memory bank conflicts, there is no penalty for nonsequential or unaligned accesses by a half warp in shared memory.

The use of shared memory is illustrated via the simple example of a matrix multiplication  $C = AB$  for the case with  $A$  of dimension  $M \times 16$ ,  $B$  of dimension  $16 \times N$ , and  $C$  of dimension  $M \times N$ . To keep the kernels simple,  $M$  and  $N$  are multiples of 16. A natural decomposition of the problem is to use a block and tile size of  $16 \times 16$  threads. Therefore, in terms of  $16 \times 16$  tiles,  $A$  is a column matrix,  $B$  is a row matrix, and  $C$  is their outer product. (See Figure 3.10.) A grid of  $N/16$  by  $M/16$  blocks is launched, where each thread block calculates the elements of a different tile in  $C$  from a single tile of  $A$  and a single tile of  $B$ .




Figure 3.10 A block-column matrix (A) multiplied by a block-row matrix (B) and the resulting product matrix (C)

To do this, the `simpleMultiply` kernel (Listing 3.7) calculates the output elements of a tile of matrix C.

```
__kernel void simpleMultiply(__global float* a,
                            __global float* b,
                            __global float* c,
                            int N)
{
    int row = get_global_id(1);
    int col = get_global_id(0);
    float sum = 0.0f;
    for (int i = 0; i < TILE_DIM; i++) {
        sum += a[row*TILE_DIM+i] * b[i*N+col];
    }
    c[row*N+col] = sum;
}
```

### Listing 3.7 Unoptimized matrix multiplication

In Listing 3.7, `a`, `b`, and `c` are pointers to global memory for the matrices A, B, and C, respectively; `blockDim.x`, `blockDim.y`, and `TILE_DIM` are all 16. Each thread in the  $16 \times 16$  block calculates one element in a tile of C. `row` and `col` are the row and column of the element in C being calculated by a particular thread. The `for` loop over `i` multiplies a row of A by a column of B, which is then written to C.

The effective bandwidth of this kernel is only 8.7 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 and 0.7 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800. To analyze performance, it is necessary to consider how half warps of threads access global memory in the `for` loop. Each half warp of threads calculates one row of a tile of C, which depends on a single row of A and an entire tile of B as illustrated in Figure 3.11.




Figure 3.11 Computing a row (half warp) of a tile in C using one row of A and an entire tile of B

For each iteration  $i$  of the `for` loop, all threads in a half warp read the same value from global memory (the index `row*TILE_DIM+i` is constant within a half warp), resulting in 16 transactions for compute capability 1.1 or lower, and 1 transaction for compute capability 1.2 or higher. Even though the operation requires only 1 transaction for compute capability 1.2 or higher, there is wasted bandwidth in the transaction because only 4 bytes out of a 32-byte transaction are used. For each iteration, the 16 threads in a half warp read a row of the B tile, which is a sequential and coalesced access for all compute capabilities.

The performance on a device of any compute capability can be improved by reading a tile of A into shared memory as shown in Listing 3.8.

```
__kernel void coalescedMultiply(__global float* a,
                               __global float* b,
                               __global float* c,
                               int N,
                               __local float aTile[TILE_DIM][TILE_DIM])
{
    int row = get_global_id(1);
    int col = get_global_id(0);
    float sum = 0.0f;
    int x = get_local_id(0);
    int y = get_local_id(1);
    aTile[y][x] = a[row*TILE_DIM+x];
    for (int i = 0; i < TILE_DIM; i++) {
        sum += aTile[y][i] * b[i*N+col];
    }
    c[row*N+col] = sum;
}
```

### Listing 3.8 Using shared memory to improve the global memory load efficiency in matrix multiplication

In Listing 3.8, each element in a tile of A is read from global memory only once, in a fully coalesced fashion (with no wasted bandwidth), to shared memory. Within each iteration of the for loop, a value in shared memory is broadcast to all threads in a half warp.

In Listing 3.8, a synchronization barrier call is not needed after reading the tile of A into shared memory because only threads within the half warp that write the data into shared memory read the data. This kernel has an effective bandwidth of 14.3 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280, and 8.2 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800.

A further improvement can be made to how Listing 3.8 deals with matrix B. In calculating a tile’s row of matrix C, the entire tile of B is read. The repeated reading of the B tile can be eliminated by reading it into shared memory once (Listing 3.9).

```
__kernel void sharedABMultiply(__global float* a,
                              __global float* b,
                              __global float* c,
                              int N,
                              __local float aTile[TILE_DIM][TILE_DIM],
                              __local float bTile[TILE_DIM][TILE_DIM])
{
    int row = get_global_id(1);
    int col = get_global_id(0);
    float sum = 0.0f;
    int x = get_local_id(0);
    int y = get_local_id(1);
    aTile[y][threadIdx.x] = a[row*TILE_DIM+x];
    bTile[y][threadIdx.x] = b[y*N+col];
    barrier(CLK_LOCAL_MEM_FENCE);
    for (int i = 0; i < TILE_DIM; i++) {
        sum += aTile[y][i]* bTile[i][x];
    }
    c[row*N+col] = sum;
}
```

### Listing 3.9 Improvement by reading additional data into shared memory

Note that in Listing 3.9, a barrier() call is required after reading the B tile because a warp reads data from shared memory that were written to shared memory by different warps. The effective bandwidth of this routine is 29.7 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 and 15.7 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800. Note that the performance improvement is not due to improved coalescing in either case, but to avoiding redundant transfers from global memory.

The results of the various optimizations are summarized in Table 3.2.

Table 3.2 Performance improvements optimizing C = AB matrix multiply

Optimization	NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280	NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800
No optimization	8.8 GBps	0.7 GBps

Coalesced using shared memory to store a tile of A	14.3 GBps	8.2 GBps
Using shared memory to eliminate redundant reads of a tile of B	29.7 GBps	15.7 GBps

**Medium Priority:** Use shared memory to avoid redundant transfers from global memory.

### 3.2.2.3 Shared Memory in Matrix Multiplication ( $C = AA^T$ )

A variant of the previous matrix multiplication can be used to illustrate how strided accesses to global memory, as well as shared memory bank conflicts, are handled. This variant simply uses the transpose of A rather than B, or  $C = AA^T$ .

A simple implementation for  $C = AA^T$  is shown in Listing 3.10.

```
__kernel void simpleMultiply(__global float *a,
                            __global float *c,
                            int M)
{
    int row = get_global_id(1);
    int col = get_global_id(0);
    float sum = 0.0f;
    for (int i = 0; i < TILE_DIM; i++) {
        sum += a[row*TILE_DIM+i] * a[col*TILE_DIM+i];
    }
    c[row*M+col] = sum;
}
```

Listing 3.10 Unoptimized handling of strided accesses to global memory

In Listing 3.10, the *row*-th, *col*-th element of C is obtained by taking the dot product of the *row*-th and *col*-th rows of A. The effective bandwidth for this kernel is 1.1 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 and 0.5 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800. These results are substantially lower than the corresponding measurements for the  $C = AB$  kernel. The difference is in how threads in a half warp access elements of A in the second term,  $a[col*TILE\_DIM+i]$ , for each iteration *i*. For a half warp of threads, *col* represents sequential columns of the transpose of A, and therefore  $col*TILE\_DIM$  represents a strided access of global memory with a stride of 16. This results in uncoalesced memory accesses on devices with compute capability 1.1 or lower and plenty of wasted bandwidth on devices with compute capability 1.2 or higher. The way to avoid strided access is to use shared memory as before, except in this case a half warp reads a row of A into a column of a shared memory tile, as shown in Listing 3.11.

```
__kernel void coalescedMultiply(__global float *a,
                               __global float *c,
                               int M,
                               __local float aTile[TILE_DIM][TILE_DIM],
                               __local float transposedTile[TILE_DIM][TILE_DIM])
{
```

```

int row = get_global_id(1);
int col = get_global_id(0);
float sum = 0.0f;
int x = get_local_id(0);
int y = get_local_id(1);
aTile[y][x] = a[row*TILE_DIM+x];
transposedTile[x][y] =
    a[(get_group_id(0) * get_num_groups(0) + y) * TILE_DIM + x];
barrier(CLK_LOCAL_MEM_FENCE);
for (int i = 0; i < TILE_DIM; i++) {
    sum += aTile[y][i] * transposedTile[i][x];
}
c[row*M+col] = sum;
}

```

**Listing 3.11** An optimized version of Listing 3.10 using coalesced reads from global memory

Listing 3.11 uses the shared `transposedTile` to avoid uncoalesced accesses in the second term in the dot product, and the shared `aTile` technique from the previous example to avoid uncoalesced accesses in the first term. The effective bandwidth of this kernel is 24.9 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 and 13.2 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800. These results are slightly lower than those obtained by the final kernel for  $C = AB$ . The cause of the difference is shared memory bank conflicts.

The reads of elements in `transposedTile` within the `for` loop are free of conflicts, because threads of each half warp read across rows of the tile, resulting in unit stride across the banks. However, bank conflicts occur when copying the tile from global memory into shared memory. To enable the loads from global memory to be coalesced, data are read from global memory sequentially. However, this requires writing to shared memory in columns, and because of the use of 16x16 tiles in shared memory, this results in a stride between threads of 16 banks. These 16-way bank conflicts are very expensive. The simple remedy is to pad the shared memory array so that it has an extra column, as in the following line of code.

```
__local float transposedTile[TILE_DIM][TILE_DIM+1];
```

This padding eliminates the conflicts entirely, because now the stride between threads is 17 banks, which, due to modular arithmetic used to compute bank indices, is equivalent to a unit stride. After this change, the effective bandwidth is 30.4 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280 and 15.6 GBps on an NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800, which is comparable to the results from the last  $C = AB$  kernel.

The results of these optimizations are summarized in Table 3.3.

**Table 3.3** Performance improvements optimizing  $C = AA^T$  matrix multiplication

Optimization	NVIDIA GeForce GTX 280	NVIDIA GeForce GTX 8800
No optimization	1.1 GBps	0.5 GBps

Using shared memory to coalesce global reads	24.8 GBps	13.2 GBps
Removing bank conflicts	30.3 GBps	15.6 GBps

These results should be compared with those in Table 3.2. As can be seen from these tables, judicious use of shared memory can dramatically improve performance.

The examples in this section have illustrated three ways to use shared memory:

- ❑ To enable coalesced accesses to global memory, especially to avoid large strides (for general matrices, strides are much larger than 16)
- ❑ To eliminate (or reduce) redundant loads from global memory
- ❑ To avoid wasted bandwidth

### 3.2.2.4 Shared Memory Use by Kernel Arguments

Shared memory holds the parameters or arguments that are passed to kernels at launch. In kernels with long argument lists, it can be valuable to put some arguments into constant memory (and reference them there) rather than consume shared memory.

**Low Priority:** For kernels with long argument lists, place some arguments into constant memory to save shared memory.

## 3.2.3 Local Memory

CUDA local memory is so named because its scope is local to the thread, not because of its physical location. In fact, local memory is off-chip. Hence, access to local memory is as expensive as access to global memory. Like global memory, local memory is not cached. In other words, the term “local” in the name does not imply faster access. This is unlike OpenCL `__local` memory, which is on-chip and fast on CUDA devices.

Local memory is used only to hold automatic variables. This is done by the compiler when it determines that there is insufficient register space to hold the variable. Automatic variables that are likely to be placed in local memory are large structures or arrays that would consume too much register space and arrays that the compiler determines may be indexed dynamically.

Inspection of the PTX assembly code reveals whether a variable has been placed in local memory during the first compilation phases. If it has, it will be declared using the `.local` mnemonic and accessed using the `ld.local` and `st.local` mnemonics. If it has not, subsequent compilation phases might still decide otherwise, if they find the variable consumes too much register space for the targeted architecture.

## 3.2.4 Texture Memory

The read-only texture memory space is cached. Therefore, an image read costs one device memory read only on a cache miss; otherwise, it just costs one read from the texture cache. The texture cache is optimized for 2D spatial locality, so threads of the same warp that read texture addresses that are close together will achieve best performance. Texture memory is also designed for streaming reads with a constant latency; that is, a cache hit reduces DRAM bandwidth demand, but not read latency.

In certain addressing situations, reading device memory through image objects can be an advantageous alternative to reading device memory from global or constant memory.

### 3.2.4.1 Textured Fetch vs. Global Memory Read

Device memory reads through image objects present several benefits over reads from global memory:

- ❑ They are cached, potentially exhibiting higher bandwidth if there is 2D locality in the texture fetches.
- ❑ Textures can be used to avoid uncoalesced loads from global memory.
- ❑ Packed data can be unpacked into separate variables in a single operation.
- ❑ 8-bit and 16-bit integer input data may be optionally converted to 32-bit floating-point values in the range [0.0, 1.0] or [-1.0, 1.0].

However, within the same kernel call, the texture cache is not kept coherent with respect to image writes, so that any image read to an address that has been written to via an image write in the same kernel call returns undefined data. In other words, a thread can safely read via an image object some memory location only if this memory location has been updated by a previous kernel call or memory copy, but not if it has been previously updated by the same thread or another thread from the same kernel call.

### 3.2.4.2 Additional Texture Capabilities

The hardware provides other capabilities that might be useful for some applications, such as image processing. (See Table 3.4.)

Table 3.4 Useful imaging-processing features for image objects

Feature	Use	Caveat
<b>Filtering</b>	Fast, low-precision interpolation between texels	Valid only for floating-point image objects
<b>Normalized texture coordinates</b>	Resolution-independent coding	
<b>Addressing modes</b>	Automatic handling of boundary cases <sup>1</sup>	Can be used only with normalized image coordinates



<sup>1</sup>The automatic handling of boundary cases in the bottom row of Table 3.4 refers to how a texture coordinate is resolved when it falls outside the valid addressing range. There are two options: *clamp* and *repeat*. If  $x$  is the coordinate and  $N$  is the number of texels for a one-dimensional texture, then with *clamp*,  $x$  is replaced by 0 if  $x < 0$  and by  $1-1/N$  if  $1 \leq x$ . With *repeat*,  $x$  is replaced by  $\text{frac}(x)$  where  $\text{frac}(x) = x - \text{floor}(x)$ . Floor returns the largest integer less than or equal to  $x$ . So, in *clamp* mode where  $N = 1$ , an  $x$  of 1.3 is clamped to 1.0; whereas in *repeat* mode, it is converted to 0.3

## 3.2.5 Constant Memory

There is a total of 64 KB constant memory on a device. The constant memory space is cached. As a result, a read from constant memory costs one memory read from device memory only on a cache miss; otherwise, it just costs one read from the constant cache.

For all threads of a half warp, reading from the constant cache is as fast as reading from a register as long as all threads read the same address. Accesses to different addresses by threads within a half warp are serialized, so cost scales linearly with the number of different addresses read by all threads within a half warp.

## 3.2.6 Registers

Generally, accessing a register consumes zero extra clock cycles per instruction, but delays may occur due to register read-after-write dependencies and register memory bank conflicts.

The latency of read-after-write dependencies is approximately 24 cycles, but this latency is completely hidden on multiprocessors that have at least 192 active threads (that is, 6 warps).

The compiler and hardware thread scheduler will schedule instructions as optimally as possible to avoid register memory bank conflicts. They achieve the best results when the number of threads per block is a multiple of 64. Other than following this rule, an application has no direct control over these bank conflicts. In particular, there is no register-related reason to pack data into `float4` or `int4` types.

### 3.2.6.1 Register Pressure

Register pressure occurs when there are not enough registers available for a given task. Even though each multiprocessor contains either 8,192 or 16,384 32-bit registers, these are partitioned among concurrent threads.



# Chapter 4.

## NDRange Optimizations

One of the keys to good performance is to keep the multiprocessors on the device as busy as possible. A device in which work is poorly balanced across the multiprocessors will deliver suboptimal performance. Hence, it's important to design your application to use threads and blocks in a way that maximizes hardware utilization and to limit practices that impede the free distribution of work. A key concept in this effort is occupancy, which is explained in the following sections.

Another important concept is the management of system resources allocated for a particular task. How to manage this resource utilization is discussed in the final sections of this chapter.

---

### 4.1 Occupancy

Thread instructions are executed sequentially in CUDA, and, as a result, executing other warps when one warp is paused or stalled is the only way to hide latencies and keep the hardware busy. Some metric related to the number of active warps on a multiprocessor is therefore important in determining how effectively the hardware is kept busy. This metric is *occupancy*.

Occupancy is the ratio of the number of active warps per multiprocessor to the maximum number of possible active warps. (To determine the latter number, see the `oclDeviceQuery` program in the NVIDIA OpenCL SDK or refer to Appendix A in the *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide*.) Another way to view occupancy is the percentage of the hardware's ability to process warps that are actively in use.

Higher occupancy does not always equate to higher performance—there is a point above which additional occupancy does not improve performance. However, low occupancy always interferes with the ability to hide memory latency, resulting in performance degradation.

---

### 4.2 Calculating Occupancy

One of several factors that determine occupancy is register availability. Register storage enables threads to keep local variables nearby for low-latency access. However, the set of registers (known as the *register file*) is a limited commodity that all threads resident on a multiprocessor must share. Registers are allocated to an entire block all at once. So, if each thread block uses many registers, the number of thread blocks that can be resident on a multiprocessor is reduced, thereby lowering the occupancy of the multiprocessor.

For purposes of calculating occupancy, the following factors can be important. Devices with compute capability 1.1 or lower have 8,192 32-bit registers per multiprocessor. Devices with compute capability 1.2 or 1.3 have 16,384 32-bit registers per multiprocessor. Multiprocessors with compute capability 1.1 and lower can have a maximum of 768 simultaneous threads resident (24 warps x 32 threads per warp). This means that in a multiprocessor with 100 percent occupancy, every thread can use 10 registers before occupancy is reduced. For compute capability 1.2 and 1.3, the corresponding number is 16 registers per thread (16,384 / (32 warps x 32 threads per warp)).

The preceding approach of determining how register count affects occupancy does not take into account allocation granularity because register allocation is performed per block. For example, on a device of compute capability 1.0, a kernel with 128-thread blocks using 12 registers per thread results in an occupancy of 83 percent with 5 active 128-thread blocks per multiprocessor, whereas a kernel with 256-thread blocks using the same 12 registers per thread results in an occupancy of 66 percent because only two 256-thread blocks can reside on a multiprocessor. Not only is register allocation performed per block, but it also is rounded to the nearest 256 registers per block on devices with compute capability 1.0 and 1.1, and it's rounded to the nearest 512 registers on devices with compute capability 1.2 and 1.3. Because of these nuances in register allocation and the fact that a multiprocessor's shared memory is also partitioned between resident thread blocks, the relation between register usage and occupancy can be difficult to determine.

NVIDIA provides an occupancy calculator in the form of an Excel spreadsheet that enables developers to hone in on the optimal balance and to test different possible scenarios. This spreadsheet, shown in Figure 4.1, is `CUDA_Occupancy_calculator.xls` located in the `tools` directory of the SDK.




Figure 4.1 Use the CUDA GPU Occupancy Calculator to project occupancy

---

## 4.3 Hiding Register Dependencies

**Medium Priority:** To hide latency arising from register dependencies, maintain at least 25 percent occupancy on devices with compute capability 1.1 and lower, and 18.75 percent occupancy on later devices.

Register dependencies arise when an instruction uses a result stored in a register written by an instruction before it. The latency on current CUDA-enabled GPUs is approximately 24 cycles, so threads must wait 24 cycles before using an arithmetic result. However, this latency can be completely hidden by the execution of threads in other warps. To hide arithmetic latency completely, multiprocessors should be running at least 192 threads (6 warps). This equates to 25 percent occupancy on devices with compute capability 1.1 and lower, and 18.75 percent occupancy on devices with compute capability 1.2 and higher.

---

## 4.4 Thread and Block Heuristics

**Medium Priority:** The number of threads per block should be a multiple of 32 threads, because this provides optimal computing efficiency and facilitates coalescing.

The dimension and size of blocks per grid and the dimension and size of threads per block are both important factors. The multidimensional aspect of these parameters allows easier mapping of multidimensional problems to OpenCL and does not play a role in performance. As a result, this section discusses size but not dimension.

Latency hiding and occupancy depend on the number of active warps per multiprocessor, which is implicitly determined by the execution parameters along with resource (register and shared memory) constraints. Choosing execution parameters is a matter of striking a balance between latency hiding (occupancy) and resource utilization.

Choosing the NDRange parameters should be done in tandem; however, there are certain heuristics that apply to each parameter individually. When choosing the number of blocks per grid or grid size (i.e. number of work groups in OpenCL terminology), the primary concern is keeping the entire GPU busy. The number of blocks in a grid should be larger than the number of multiprocessors so that all multiprocessors have at least one block to execute. Furthermore, there should be multiple active blocks per multiprocessor so that blocks that aren't waiting for a `barrier()` can keep the hardware busy. This recommendation is subject to resource availability; therefore, it should be determined in the context of the blocksize execution parameter, as well as shared memory usage. To scale to future

devices, the number of blocks per kernel launch should be in the hundreds, as kernels with thousands of blocks will scale across multiple future generations.

When choosing the number of threads per block, or the blocksize, it is important to remember that multiple concurrent blocks can reside on a multiprocessor, so occupancy is not determined by blocksize alone. In particular, a larger blocksize does not imply a higher occupancy. For example, on a device of compute capability 1.1 or lower, a kernel with a maximum blocksize of 512 threads results in an occupancy of 66 percent because the maximum number of threads per multiprocessor on such a device is 768. Hence, only a single block can be active per multiprocessor. However, a kernel with 256 threads per block on such a device can result in 100 percent occupancy with three resident active blocks.

As mentioned in section 4.1 et seq., higher occupancy does not always equate to better performance. For example, improving occupancy from 66 percent to 100 percent generally does not translate to a similar increase in performance. A lower occupancy kernel will have more registers available per thread than a higher occupancy kernel, which may result in less register spilling to local memory. In fact, once an occupancy of 50 percent has been reached, additional increases in occupancy do not translate into improved performance.

There are many such factors involved in selecting blocksize, and inevitably some experimentation is required. However, a few rules of thumb should be followed:

- ❑ Threads per block should be a multiple of warp size to avoid wasting computation on underpopulated warps and to facilitate coalescing.
- ❑ A minimum of 64 threads per block should be used, but only if there are multiple concurrent blocks per multiprocessor.
- ❑ Between 128 and 256 threads per block is a better choice and a good initial range for experimentation with different block sizes.

Note that when a thread block allocates more than the available registers on a multiprocessor, the kernel invocation fails, as it will when too much shared memory or too many threads are requested.

---

## 4.5 Effects of Shared Memory

Shared memory can be helpful in several situations, such as helping to coalesce or eliminate redundant access to global memory. However, it also can act as a constraint on occupancy. In many cases, the amount of shared memory used in a kernel is related to the block size, but the mapping of threads to shared memory elements does not need to be one-to-one. For example, it may be desirable to use a 32x32 element shared memory array in a kernel, but because the maximum number of threads per block is 512, it is not possible to launch a kernel with 32x32 threads per block. In such cases, kernels with 32x16 or 32x8 threads can be launched with each thread processing two or four elements, respectively, of the shared memory array. The approach of using a thread to process multiple elements of a shared memory array can be beneficial even if limits such as threads per block are not an issue. This is because some common operations can be performed by a thread once

and the cost amortized over the number of shared memory elements processed by a thread.

A useful technique to determine the sensitivity of performance to occupancy is through experimentation with the amount of dynamically allocated shared memory. In OpenCL, the size of any `__local` pointer argument is specified outside the kernel using `clSetKernelArg()`. By simply increasing this amount, it is possible to effectively reduce the occupancy of the kernel and measure its effect on performance.

As mentioned in the previous section, once an occupancy of more than 50 percent has been reached, it generally does not pay to optimize parameters to obtain higher occupancy ratios. The previous technique can be used to determine whether such a plateau has been reached.





# Chapter 5.

## Instruction Optimizations

Awareness of how instructions are executed often permits low-level optimizations that can be useful, especially in code that is run frequently (the so-called hot spot in a program). Best practices suggest that this optimization be performed after all higher-level optimizations have been completed.

In this chapter, throughputs are given in number of *operations* per clock cycle per multiprocessor. For a warp size of 32, an instruction consists of 32 operations.

Therefore, if  $T$  is the number of operations per clock cycle, the instruction throughput is one instruction every  $32/T$  clock cycles. All throughputs are for one multiprocessor. They must be multiplied by the number of multiprocessors in the device to get throughput for the whole device.

The use of `-cl-mad-enable` build option, which lets the compiler group add and mul instructions into a single FMAD instruction whenever possible, is recommended as it can lead to large performance gains. FMAD truncates the intermediate result of the multiplication however.

The use of `-cl-fast-relaxed-math` build option enables many aggressive compiler optimizations and should be considered as well.

The CUDA architecture is a scalar architecture. Therefore, there is no performance benefit from using vector types and instructions. These should only be used for convenience. It is also in general better to have more work-items than fewer using large vectors.

---

### 5.1 Arithmetic Instructions

Single-precision floats provide the best performance and their use is highly encouraged.

The throughput of single-precision floating-point add, multiply, and multiply-add is 8 operations per clock cycle.

The throughput of single-precision reciprocal, reciprocal square root, and `native_logf(x)` are 2 operations per clock cycle. (Refer to Appendix B of the *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide*.)

The throughput of 32-bit integer multiplication is 2 operations per clock cycle, but `mu124` (refer to Appendix B of the *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide*) provides signed and unsigned 24-bit integer multiplication with a throughput of 8 operations per clock cycle. On future architectures, however, `mu124` will be slower than 32-bit integer multiplication, so you should provide two kernels, one using `mu124` and the

other using generic 32-bit integer multiplication, to be called appropriately by the application.

## 5.1.1 Division and Modulo Operations

---

**Low Priority:** Use shift operations to avoid expensive division and modulo calculations.

---

Integer division and modulo operations are particularly costly and should be avoided or replaced with bitwise operations whenever possible: If  $n$  is a power of 2,  $(i/n)$  is equivalent to  $(i \gg \log_2(n))$  and  $(i \% n)$  is equivalent to  $(i \& (n-1))$ .

The compiler will perform these conversions if  $n$  is literal. (For further information, refer to Chapter 3 of the *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide*).

## 5.1.2 Reciprocal Square Root

The reciprocal square root should always be invoked explicitly as `rsqrt()`. The compiler optimizes `1.0f/sqrt(x)` into `rsqrt()` only when this does not violate IEEE-754 semantics.

## 5.1.3 Other Arithmetic Instructions

---

**Low Priority:** Avoid automatic conversion of doubles to floats.

---

The compiler must on occasion insert conversion instructions, introducing additional execution cycles. This is the case for

- ❑ Functions operating on `char` or `short` whose operands generally need to be converted to an `int`
- ❑ Double-precision floating-point constants (defined without any type suffix) used as input to single-precision floating-point computations

The latter case can be avoided by using single-precision floating-point constants, defined with an `f` suffix such as `3.141592653589793f`, `1.0f`, `0.5f`. This specification has accuracy implications in addition to its ramifications on performance. The effects on accuracy are discussed in Chapter 7.

For single-precision code, use of the `float` type and the single-precision math functions are highly recommended. When compiling for devices without native double-precision support, such as devices of compute capability 1.2 and earlier, each double variable is converted to single-precision floating-point format (but retains its size of 64 bits) and double-precision arithmetic is demoted to single-precision arithmetic.

It should also be noted that the math library function for complementary error function, `erfc()`, is particularly fast with full single-precision accuracy.

## 5.1.4 Math Libraries

---

**Medium Priority:** Use the native math library whenever speed trumps precision.

---

Two types of runtime math operations are supported. They are `native_functionName()` and `functionName()`. Functions using `native_functionName()` map directly to the hardware level. They are faster but provide somewhat lower accuracy. (Examples: `native_sin(x)`, `native_exp(x)`, and so forth.) Functions using `functionName()` are slower but have higher accuracy. (Examples: `sin(x)`, `exp(x)`, and so forth.) The throughput of `native_sin(x)`, `native_cos(x)`, `native_exp(x)` is 1 operation per clock cycle, while `sin(x)`, `cos(x)`, `tan(x)` are much more expensive and become even more so (about an order of magnitude slower) if the absolute value of `x` needs to be reduced. Moreover, in such cases, the argument-reduction code uses local memory, which can affect performance even more because of the high latency of local memory. More details are available in the *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide*.

---

## 5.2 Memory Instructions

---

**High Priority:** Minimize the use of global memory. Prefer shared memory access where possible.

---

Memory instructions include any instruction that reads from or writes to shared, local, or global memory. The throughput of memory optimizations is 8 operations per clock cycle. When accessing local or global memory, there are, in addition, 400 to 600 clock cycles of memory latency.

As an example, the throughput for the assignment operator in the following sample code

```
__local float shared[32];
__global float* device;
shared[threadIdx.x] = device[threadIdx.x];
```

is 8 operations per clock cycle to issue a read from global memory, 8 operations per clock cycle to issue a write to shared memory, but, crucially, there is a latency of 400 to 600 clock cycles to read data from global memory.

Much of this global memory latency can be hidden by the thread scheduler if there are sufficient independent arithmetic instructions that can be issued while waiting for the global memory access to complete. However, it is best to avoid accessing global memory whenever possible.



# Chapter 6.

## Control Flow

---

### 6.1 Branching and Divergence

**High Priority:** Avoid different execution paths within the same warp.

Any flow control instruction (*if*, *switch*, *do*, *for*, *while*) can significantly affect the instruction throughput by causing threads of the same warp to diverge; that is, to follow different execution paths. If this happens, the different execution paths must be serialized, increasing the total number of instructions executed for this warp. When all the different execution paths have completed, the threads converge back to the same execution path.

To obtain best performance in cases where the control flow depends on the thread ID, the controlling condition should be written so as to minimize the number of divergent warps.

This is possible because the distribution of the warps across the block is deterministic as mentioned in section 2.1.1 of the *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide*. A trivial example is when the controlling condition depends only on  $(\text{threadIdx} / \text{WSIZE})$  where *WSIZE* is the warp size.

In this case, no warp diverges because the controlling condition is perfectly aligned with the warps.

---

### 6.2 Branch Predication

**Low Priority:** Make it easy for the compiler to use branch predication in lieu of loops or control statements.

Sometimes, the compiler may unroll loops or optimize out *if* or *switch* statements by using branch predication instead. In these cases, no warp can ever diverge. The programmer can also control loop unrolling using

```
#pragma unroll
```

For more information on this pragma, refer to the *NVIDIA OpenCL Programming Guide*.

When using branch predication, none of the instructions whose execution depends on the controlling condition is skipped. Instead, each such instruction is associated

with a per-thread condition code or predicate that is set to true or false according to the controlling condition. Although each of these instructions is scheduled for execution, only the instructions with a true predicate are actually executed. Instructions with a false predicate do not write results, and they also do not evaluate addresses or read operands.

The compiler replaces a branch instruction with predicated instructions only if the number of instructions controlled by the branch condition is less than or equal to a certain threshold: If the compiler determines that the condition is likely to produce many divergent warps, this threshold is 7; otherwise it is 4.

# Appendix A.

## Recommendations and Best Practices

This appendix contains a list of all the recommendations for optimization and the list of best practices that are explained in this document.

---

### A.1 Overall Performance Optimization Strategies

Performance optimization revolves around three basic strategies:

- ❑ Maximizing parallel execution
- ❑ Optimizing memory usage to achieve maximum memory bandwidth
- ❑ Optimizing instruction usage to achieve maximum instruction throughput

Maximizing parallel execution starts with structuring the algorithm in a way that exposes as much data parallelism as possible. Once the parallelism of the algorithm has been exposed, it needs to be mapped to the hardware as efficiently as possible. This is done by carefully choosing the NDRange of each kernel invocation. The application should also maximize parallel execution at a higher level by explicitly exposing concurrent execution on the device through streams, as well as maximizing concurrent execution between host and device.

Optimizing memory usage starts with minimizing data transfers between the host and the device because those transfers have much lower bandwidth than internal device data transfers. Kernel access to global memory also should be minimized by maximizing the use of shared memory on the device. Sometimes, the best optimization might even be to avoid any data transfer in the first place by simply recomputing the data whenever it is needed.

The effective bandwidth can vary by an order of magnitude depending on the access pattern for each type of memory. The next step in optimizing memory usage is therefore to organize memory accesses according to the optimal memory access patterns. This optimization is especially important for global memory accesses, because latency of access costs hundreds of clock cycles. Shared memory accesses, in counterpoint, are usually worth optimizing only when there exists a high degree of bank conflicts.

As for optimizing instruction usage, the use of arithmetic instructions that have low throughput should be avoided. This suggests trading precision for speed when it does not affect the end result, such as using intrinsics instead of regular functions or single precision instead of double precision. Finally, particular attention must be paid to control flow instructions due to the SIMT (single instruction multiple thread) nature of the device.

---

## A.2 High-Priority Recommendations

- ❑ To get the maximum benefit from OpenCL, focus first on finding ways to parallelize sequential code. (Section 1.1.3)
- ❑ Use the effective bandwidth of your computation as a metric when measuring performance and optimization benefits. (Section 2.2)
- ❑ Minimize data transfer between the host and the device, even if it means running some kernels on the device that do not show performance gains when compared with running them on the host CPU. (Section 3.1)
- ❑ Ensure global memory accesses are coalesced whenever possible. (Section 3.2.1)
- ❑ Minimize the use of global memory. Prefer shared memory access where possible. (Section 5.2)
- ❑ Avoid different execution paths within the same warp. (Section 6.1)
- ❑ Use the `-cl-mad-enable` build option. (Chapter 5)

---

## A.3 Medium-Priority Recommendations

- ❑ Accesses to shared memory should be designed to avoid serializing requests due to bank conflicts. (Section 3.2.2.1)
- ❑ Use shared memory to avoid redundant transfers from global memory. (Section 3.2.2.2)
- ❑ To hide latency arising from register dependencies, maintain at least 25 percent occupancy on devices with compute capability 1.1 and lower, and 18.75 percent occupancy on later devices. (Section 4.3)
- ❑ The number of threads per block should be a multiple of 32 threads, because this provides optimal computing efficiency and facilitates coalescing. (Section 4.4)
- ❑ Use the native math library whenever speed trumps precision. (Section 5.1.4)

---

## A.4 Low-Priority Recommendations

- ❑ For kernels with long argument lists, place some arguments into constant memory to save shared memory. (Section 3.2.2.4)
- ❑ Use shift operations to avoid expensive division and modulo calculations. (Section 5.1.1)
- ❑ Avoid automatic conversion of doubles to floats. (Section 5.1.3)
- ❑ Make it easy for the compiler to use branch predication in lieu of loops or control statements. (Section 6.2)



### **Notice**

ALL NVIDIA DESIGN SPECIFICATIONS, REFERENCE BOARDS, FILES, DRAWINGS, DIAGNOSTICS, LISTS, AND OTHER DOCUMENTS (TOGETHER AND SEPARATELY, "MATERIALS") ARE BEING PROVIDED "AS IS." NVIDIA MAKES NO WARRANTIES, EXPRESSED, IMPLIED, STATUTORY, OR OTHERWISE WITH RESPECT TO THE MATERIALS, AND EXPRESSLY DISCLAIMS ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF NONINFRINGEMENT, MERCHANTABILITY, AND FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE.

Information furnished is believed to be accurate and reliable. However, NVIDIA Corporation assumes no responsibility for the consequences of use of such information or for any infringement of patents or other rights of third parties that may result from its use. No license is granted by implication or otherwise under any patent or patent rights of NVIDIA Corporation. Specifications mentioned in this publication are subject to change without notice. This publication supersedes and replaces all information previously supplied. NVIDIA Corporation products are not authorized for use as critical components in life support devices or systems without express written approval of NVIDIA Corporation.

### **Trademarks**

NVIDIA, the NVIDIA logo, CUDA, GeForce, NVIDIA Quadro, and Tesla are trademarks or registered trademarks of NVIDIA Corporation. Other company and product names may be trademarks of the respective companies with which they are associated.

### **Copyright**

© 2009 NVIDIA Corporation. All rights reserved.



**NVIDIA.**